1894-95
(2 R)

File No: 81-94
Serial No. 1-15

Sub — dispute regarding the imposition of kalsat on drain lands in


194

P7.8-98.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. (1894-95).

~~191~~.

(22)

Later references.

File No. 81-94

Serial Nos. 1-15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-15

In ~~Part~~ B for August 1892

SUBJECT.

Dispute re the imposition of Salami on Inam lands in Chengalpat and Tanjore &c.

Countermanded

RG

Indexed

W D 1250—GPE—30,000—22-1-19

with the case. The measurements of the lands (Survey No. 42) on which khalsa has been imposed, according to the old system was not mentioned in these extracts. The Dy. Collr. X. then wrote to the Amildar asking him to furnish a statement showing ~~what~~ (1) the old Beejawari or Akadimam of the land and the present Survey area (2) the old Beejawari or Akadimam of the adjacent Sirkar lands and their present area, and (3) the total Beejawari or Akadimam of the whole of the Sirkar lands in the Inam and their total Survey area and (4) the total Beejawari or Akadimam of the whole of the Inam lands in the Ittl Grama and their total Survey area. He wanted this information to ascertain the proportion of crops in the Inam lands to crops in Sirkar lands. The Amildar prepared a statement and sent it. In the statement he says that there are no accounts in the Taluk to show the old Beejawari land, though the Fasli from 1840 and onwards was searched,

Letter No. 1803 dated 18-6-95

No Akkidimara was entered.

The Amildar says that in Oct. 1894/12 he has submitted the accounts of Mgramas by which the difference between the old area and the survey area could be ascertained. The accompanying statement shows the excess charged (Sunkada Kattay Village - Jayashikhacher)

The petitioners request submitted that in the case of Bommali Swamirayachar, Haibhatta and others Govt. has been pleased to order that, pending the final disposal of the matter, the Khabat should not be collected; and request that a similar order might be passed in their case also.

A.S.
21.1.96

XX

Send the petrs & the V. Records to the Inam Supt with a request that he will be so good as to send the Report of Inam enquiry relating to Jaladi-Lakhtas of the Manor Inams in the Jurisdiction of the Peshkar of Channagiri & Honnali talukas

Still No: 2 3 3 3 of 95-96 9.5

Sheriff of Inams' letter No. 12
dy 5th August 1898.

the 5 reports on the
petrs' objections to the
levy of Khalsa

23/7/98

Inspector reports

23-7-98

In reply to a reference in the matter, the Sheriff of Inams reports, (forwarding the Registers of Inam Enquiry and Varam Patricks) that the minor Inams in the possession of Yadava Krishnachar and Jagathathachar of Shemoga have been allocated strictly in accordance with the Rules in force.

MKH
24/8/98

In this case the petrs have no "Sunnads" or "Kadnamas" Memorials of Inams. While the Inam Supt: reports that the ex-Jeevan Khel- the Inams

was charged strictly according to rule.

It is difficult to verify this as the precise Inam belonging to the petrs are not identifiable in the Inam Regr & the Jamabandi Jathlas which do not contain the names of the petrs at all

This may be because the names of the petrs' ancestors are given there

The case seems to have been once heard (vide Mr B Ramaswamayya's note on Sho 7, Jan 16)

= The [illegible] of assessment on the lands has been stayed pending disposal

14/2/40

8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Area	assessment	area	assessment	area	assessment	area	assessment	area	assessment
"	"	0-30	11-4	1-2	12-4	0-23	5-0-0	0-19	7-4-0
"	"	"	"	2-5	9-8-0	1-26	7-8-0	0-19	2-0-0
7-36	15-0-0	"	"	7-36	10-0-0	3-38	5-0-0	3-38	8-0-0
"	"	"	"	2-1	12-0-0	"	"	2-1	12-0-0
							17-8-0	6-37	26-4-0
12-15	23-0-0	"	"	12-15	23-0-0	8-2-X	15-0-0	4-13	8-9-0

In the case of the Lake Manawatu the maximum extent allowed as Swamp is land bearing Swamp Valuation of 25/- as at 50 per cent has been given the maximum hereof exceed 3 times the Swamp Value of original

9-3
5-37
3-36

5-21

1 Village, Taluk and Remarks	2 old Number	3 Beejawaree in which old Kolagas and Pagodi	4 Beej	5 Survey Number	Jari 6 area in acres and guntas	Jari 7 Assess-
Chamrajpet Taluk Gadana Krishna Char						
Srinivasalagere	52	3-0-12	2-15-2	56(Sub-)	3-12	1-0-0
Belalagere	133	0-11-9	7-9-0	105(Sub)	2-5	7-8-0
Lakshmisagara	23	3-0-14	4-11-8	3	"	"
	16	0-0-14	"	12(Sub)	2-1	12-0-0
Honnabi Taluk Jayachitacher						
Sunkada Kattay	10	"	9-7-4	42	"	"

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

No. 2061

Name of Petitioner. Kalle Eyadava Krishnachar residing

Village.

Taluk. Sirsi

District.

Date of Arzi. 9-2-95

Date of Receipt. 18-2-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Jail

ABSTRACT.

States that no order has as yet been passed on the petition submitted by the said Jaya Devichar in person on the 6th instant regarding the enhancement of Khalsa on their Inam land - that it is a collection Sanad now and that the authorities are attempting to collect the same by coercive measures - Prays that orders may be issued to the Taluk authorities not to collect the same pending disposal of the petition

Prays further that similar orders may be passed in favor of Hanmali Subbanna char and others in this matter - may also be passed in their favor -

18/2

Submitted to 2nd Councillor
The Petn alleged to have been sent on 6th Feb
has not reached the office.
18/2/95

Submitted to Hon Councillor.
With an endorsement Conveying the order recorded above
as desired by Hon'ble he wrote to say
he presented the petn personally
to Mr Parameswara Aiyar
Communicated orally,
on being
does not it -
Orders are solicited on Petns
2282/2503 and upon the
order conveying
8/3/95

Before the Government of Mysore.

Petn
~~Appeal~~ No. 2821 of 1894-95

Petrs.
~~Appellant.~~ Vs. Respondent.

(1) Kalle Subbanna Krishnachar
(2) Jayathirthachar

Dispute regarding the imposition of Rates on Rent Inam Lands in the Channagiri and Honnali Talukes.

Date of hearing 17-7-02
Notices issued—
Dft

Petrs Kalle Subbanna Krishnamachar
2 Jayathirthachar
Both present.

Request Government not to interfere with the settlement made by the Inam Inquiry Committee

Rejected

17th July 1902

Dft. put up 24-7-02

Recd 16
19/7/02

~~Submitted~~

Rs 16/7/02
110

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—7-7-99.—302.

No 282 26/4

(1)

Translation of

Petition of (1) Kattá Jaggia Chinnatambi President of Chinneya and (2) Jagatrakshagan resident of Ramainkulam, dated the 5th February 1895

We are in the enjoyment of an Inam land in Coonkadakatte Village of the Hunnali Talug and three Patta lands viz 1st 997. 2nd 998. in Talugs of Kalkuni Talugs and Devanahalli Villages of the Chennagiri Talug. We are much afflicted as Khatas has been changed in these lands. We however hold the same extent of land as before and have not taken up more —

Vide order on Petition 9-2-95

On a similar petition presented by one K Subbarayachar of

Petn of Concerned persons
of Cossle
Send letter to the Commissioner
Anjar
of Cutch

The first petition dated 5th February 1895, is submitted for orders—
24th April 1895.

Vide order on P. No. 2061 of 9/2/95

[illegible] 1 of 95
45 [illegible]

6-4 [illegible] 281-94-2

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

No. 2503

Name of Petitioner. Jayakrishna Nair and Vyavahara Krishna Nair

Village.

Taluk. Chemnja

District.

Date of Arzi. 17-3-95

Date of Receipt. 29-3-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

With reference to Endorsement No. 2061 of 11-3-95 intimating the non-receipt of their petition as referred to in their petition of 9-2-95 stating that on the 6th February they presented the petition to Mr. Varanasi Sivier at Anjar that he told them that he would investigate the matter fully & that this may be enquired into—

Further stating they were formerly paying to their Inam Brahmadayam lands at Sankaranakatti Taluk - Rs. 7-4-0 that they are now required to pay Rs. 15-14-0. that they were paying Rs. 2-4-0 for lands at Kinjarakaya - Chemnapiri Taluk - they are now required to pay Rs. 8-0-0 - that they were formerly paying Rs. 3/- for lands at Kolapiri - that they are now required to pay Rs. 4/- that they were formerly paying Rs. 5/- for lands at Lakshmipalaya that they are now required to pay Rs. 9/-

originally

that Mary Jane Mills was charged in Mr Cadman's
affidavit - that person, ratepayer appointed
being, seised or entitled of the Land at Longreach called the
Land in Dispute - that attorney had represented the
matter to the Rt. Hon. Lord Glenesk Newspaper Proprietor
Queensland Nos. 507, 512-6-14 to the effect that
the matter should be ascertained in the Courts
of Justice - that owing to failure of Mr Cadman
failed & that they cannot at all pay the above
Mesne Profits amounts - Pray that the same
order passed in the case of Donald Macmillan
Dean & others - viz that the amount should not
be collected until further order - may be passed
in their case also.

5/4

the Journals Court, only
the Quit rent to Go-
-vt Lees were ordered to be
recovered, Khalsa kind
hed in abeyance pending
further orders -

These are famine
days. We are already
suffering for want of grain
and crops and amidst
our difficulties to main-
-tain ourselves it will be
very hard if the burden of
paying Khalsa also is
thrown upon us -

We therefore by
that an order, similar to
the one passed in the case
referred to above, may be
issued to the
Dy Comm of Shimoga,
exempting us from paying
Khalsa - This will add
to the prosperity of the
Maharaja besides
relieving us suffering to

10,

R281-94-4

Gen. 31]

1896 G.L.No. 5294
Pet 465

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
16 JAN 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Dated
Despatched 9th January 1896.
Received

General No. 736
No. 502

Enclosure No. 3 with remarks

With reference to his Offl
Memorandum No 1637 dated
11th May 1895 reports upon
the petitions of Gadava
Veerabhadrachar of Shimoga
and Jayatirthachar of
Harihalli.

Prepare a note showing what the recorded extent of the lands is what excess was discovered & how much has been imposed & submit early - HGL
18/1/96

Rep
21
15/1/96

Rec'd 17/1 Subd with reference.
17/1

1896

(19)

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Distr

To

The Revenue Secretary to Gi
Government of My

Dated 9th January 1896.

No. 736/502 Trn: 3 with Record.

His Official Memorandu
No 1637 dated 11th May 1895 forw
for report petitions of Gadaval
dhar of Shimoga and Jayathirt
of Harnahalli praying that Kh
on certain Inam lands of thei
not be levied and the subsequ
Reminder No. Pet/1070 dated 5th Dece

In returning the three pet
received with the above, has th
to state that it is reported the
Inam lands of the petitioners
Krishnachar and Jayatheertha

Pet 1637

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

LA 81-94-2

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition

Registered No. 2001, dated 25.3.28.2

received at the Dewan's Office from

is forwarded, together with its enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner of the District for a full report of the facts of the case and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

14/5/95

BANGALORE, *for Chief Secretary.*

189

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000.

File the sent to me after issuing this docket
23/1

(7)

(19)

LR 87-94-5

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dt.

No. 6533/P.133.

Dated 23-1-96

Despd 23/1

His docket No 736/502 dated 9th Instant submitting report on the petitions of Gudavakkita : char of Shimoga and Jagattata : char of Harnahalli praying that Khalsat on certain inam lands of theirs may not be levied

---

With reference to the above has the honor to request that the levy of the Khalsat amount referred to by Petitioners may be deferred pending the final orders of Govt. on their complaint ~~of the Petitioners~~.

By order

23-1-96

Rev: Secy

23/1

Encl:
Upon a representation made by Sir Gudavakkistachar to Council Sir the Revenue Councillor made the verbal order.
An office note has been prepared as per orders of the Under Secy on the Dr Court report and it is not safe to submit this to the Reference files have to be obtained & put up
23/1

Please see note on the margin

are situated respectively in the Chanmagiri and Kasnadi Talukas.

2 As may be seen from the enclosed correspondence herewith submitted the Khalasi has been enforced under the rules in force by the Survey Department when the said area in Chanmagiri was discovered.

Ending with the Chanmagiri Tahsildar's letter No. 146 dated 13-8-95

& Ending with the Kasnadi Tahsildar's letter No. 377 dated 31-8-95

for Deputy Commissioner
Chanmagiri District

1904.

Lr 8-94-7

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Received No. 978
AUG 01 1898
DEPUTY SECRETARY'S OFFICE

Pd 54.
1898-99

Subd & 2nd Comr called with
papers Contd & with other
papers relating to Khalsat

16/12/98

98.

This is a troublesome
customer. Letters
give him a chance
of being heard.
Post for hearing in
the usual course

9.7.99

Posting
10/1/99

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue
Departments

Dated 5th
Despatched 6th } August 1898
Received

No. 12. of 1898—99

Enclosure No. 1 file & 1 Pack

Reports on the complaints of Yadavakrishnachar and Jayathirthachar of Shimoga regarding the Khalsat discovered in their Inams.

Rec
9/8

Read
10/8.

D7 & 798 relating to the subject
as put up

AK
10/8/98

Subd
12/8/98

No. 42

Inam Superintendent's
Bangalore dated 5th Aug

To,

The Secretary to the Government of
General and Revenue Departm

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 733 / Pet. F 8-98 dated
Ultimo, I have the honor to forward herewith, t
Registers of Inam enquiry and Jaravi Patrikes,
in the margin relating to minor Inams in th
of Yadavakrishnachar and jayathirthachar of
and to state that the petitioner's Inams have be
allocated strictly in accordence with the st
Rules in force.

2 The enclosed petitions and Vernacular r
received with the letter under reply, are he
returned.

I have the honor,
Sir,
Your most obedient
[signature]
Supdt. of Inam

(To be obligingly returned)

1. Sheet Nos. 55-57 and 59 of Channagiri Taluk.
2. Jaravi Patrikes of Channagiri and Honnali Talookes.
3. Sheet No. 81 of Honnali Taluk.

From

The Secretary to Govt
Revenue and Agriculture Dept

To

The Inam Superintendent
in Mysore

Dated
Despatched
Received
General No. 733. P
No. 578-98 / 20.7.98
27

Sir

Enclosure No.

In forwarding herewith the petition noted in the margin (P) and the vernacular records received with the Collector's letter No. 736/502 dated 9.1.96, relating to the petition of Yadavarao Shivacharao Jagatkar of Shimoga, I am directed to request you to be good enough as to forward the Register of Inam Enquiry and Inam Tahkik relating to minor Inams in the possession of the petr in Channagiri and Honnali Taluks and

Respdt

2061 of 9.2.95
2503 of 17.3.95
2821 of 5.2.95
(P) to be obtained from
& returned

Dr 8-94-6

A report on their objections to the levy of assessment on the Inams.

25.7.98 for Secretary

(18)

(84)

1902.

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To The Supdt: of Inam Settlements in Mysore Bangalore

Dated 21-3-02

Despatched 22"

Received

General No. Pet— P
No. 78-99

(1837) Enclosure No. 6

LR 81-94-9

With reference to his docket No. 86 of 30-5-99 requesting return of the Inam Registers and Sarampatriks Subd: to this office along with his letter No. 12 of 5-8-98, has the honour to return the same and to request that he will be so good as to send copies of extracts relating to the appellants' inams.

By order

Dy:

for Secretary,

In docket No. 86 of 30/5/99 requesting the return of the Inam Registers and Sarampatriks submitted with his letter No. 12 of 5/8/98

Upon which the above has the honour to return the same and to request that copies of extracts from

CSH 19-3-02.

the Registers so far as
they relate to the Inam
lands of Yadava Krishnacharya
& Jaya Thirthacharya
may be forwarded

(25)

19/3/62

Genl: No 978 & 5-8-98.
Pet 62
from Imam Lapse, No 12,
regarding Khaleet imposed
on the Inam lands of Gadava
Krishnachar; & another &
Thimmy, was submitted to
the 2d Councillor on 30-3-99

AK
5/6/99

Sub'd
6/6/99

AK
Send this to the Personal Clerk for
being placed along with those papers.
BK
6.6.99

Urgent.
Issued to 2d Cr for
return of enforces
7-6-99.

Rec'd from Divn
2 Sub 61st Inam Mis

24/9/07
Regd (City)
Post Generally

Rd
4/9/07
541

9/10/07
15/3 Cc

15/3/02

Regd. No. 7624
9
Sub Sec
SECRETARIAT OFFICE
24 MAY 1899
12
1898-99

From
The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue Department.

Dated 30th May 1899.
Despatched
Received
No. 86. of 1898-99

Enclosure No.

This office letter No 12 dated 5th August 1895.

Has the honor to request that the Secretary will be so good as to direct the return of the Inam Registers and Saranjamis sent with the above, as they are required for reference.

Sd/- [illegible]
Supt. of Inam Settlements

Sd-C

2
31.5.99

As this relates to Pah Branch, this may be transferred to that Branch.
HS 31/5.

Rec'd
5/6

Sd/- [illegible]
3/6/99

14

OFFICE OF THE
13129
1902
SECRETARY OF STATE

R.T. 8 9 98 containing copy
in tape

All
18.4.02
Sub?
17.4.02

Lr 81-96-10

Send:
(A docket-Sh° have been
found up)
18/4/02

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 11th
Despatched } April 1902.
Received

No. 53 of 1901—902

Enclosure No. Nil

With reference to his docket
F No 8-98
dated 2d ultimo, has the honour
to request the favour of his
returning the petition and
vernacular records sent with
this office docket 12 dated
5th August 1901, to enable
the undersigned to trace
out and submit the
of Cases applied for in the
docket under reply.

Supt of Inams

Sub
12.4.02

MGCPB—9-4-01—250.

87 pages containing the
petition returned

1902

LR 81-94-12

16

14323 1901-1902

FROM Pet 427

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 9th
Despatched Do } May 1902
Received

No. 105 of 1901—902

Enclosure No. 3 & 1/V. file

H is docket No 1976
P.F. No. 8-98 dated 22nd

Ultimo.

Have the honor to return herewith the petition and the vernacular records received with the above, as they are no longer required in this office.

Supt. of Inam Settls

Pet
10.5.02

LR 81-94-11

189

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

To

The Supdt. of Inam Settlements in Mysore

Dated 22nd

Despatched 22nd April 02

Received

General No. 1976
P.Z. No. 8-98

Enclosure No. 38/17 file

With reference to his docket No. 83 dt 11-4-02 requesting return of the Kaifiat & the Vernacular records submitted to this office with his docket No. 1295-8-98 has the honour to send the same for reference & resubmission.

By order

for Secretary

I with the Copies called for in this office docket No. Pet. dt 21/3/02 Encl 8-98

Dy 17/4/02

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—20-7-99.—602.

MYSORE DEWANNY
PETITION DEPT.
REGD. NO. 2
DATE 26-1

1997

3

1903

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
RGD. NO. 2676
DATE 18-2-

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION-DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No: 25 ಆಫೀಸ್
DATE 29-3-95

29/3

ताजे जैनी थी-चार बेटी पाने के लिए चार

Ld 31-4-03

1434 1902

17

FROM E. 423

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 9th
Despatched do } May 1902.
Received

No. 107 of 1901—902

Enclosure No. Four.

This docket Ex. P. 8/98 dated 2nd March last.

Cts required in the above, have the honor to forward herewith as per margin copies & extracts from the Registers &c. as they relate to the minor Inams of Gopiappa Krishnachar and Jaya-Thirthachar in the Chenna-giri and Hosmali Talukas.

Supt. of Inams

Supt. of Inams

PN
R
10-5-02

MGCPB—9-4-01—250.

Ex 8/98 re claiming
a/c of postage

All
13.5.02

To council clerk for
postages per orders
on docket No 12 of the
open file
Sd 13.5.02

Mg to the dept
2nd Mg 14/6/02
Mg to the party with
12 stamps
Mg 14/6/02

Case No. 4 25 14 10

Village — Lakkanahalli — Nanjarayapatna — Belakavathe — Sunkadakatte

Applicant — Do — Do — Hosmali

The matter was personally explained & the claim for posting the case Rs 1/2 paid
13/6/02

Talk



Inam Inam

Copy of the Register ~~of Inams~~ in the Village of Belalakere in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decima's.
1	2	3	4	5
14	Perennial	Tank Survey No. 136	As per account 1856 N. Coorie 4¼ Seer = 1·17.	— —

Title Deed No. 3915
Date of perusal 1-4-70
Date of issue to Taluk } — —

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

Shimoga

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14
—	1807 Goorooraya chetty 2 Pagodas.	Jari account 1832 Goorooraya chetty 2 Pagodas 1856 Same wet 11 Coodu 4 1/4 Seers 7-9-" Pahany 1868 Raghavendra chetty

of Shimoga

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14
— " —	1807 Gooroorayachar 2 Pagodas.	Jaari account 1832 Gooroorayachar 2 Pagodas 1856 Same wet 11 Coodu 4¼ Cawds 7-9-" Pahany 1868 Raghavendrachar

of the Anagur

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name, and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18
Haranahally Narsamma widow 70	Widow of person in Column 3	—	—

(++) Courts on the subject, the widow's name is registered. Vide Correspondence ending with proceedings above quoted.

To be confirmed, subject to ½ Last Rent or Rupee 1/.

Agreed to by ryot.

(Signed) [illegible]

Assistant.

13-8-69

Division. GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	~~Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.~~
19	~~20~~

There was little satisfactory evidence to show who is the occupant of the Inam at present.
The widow put in an urzee asserting, decision between her husband, and his brothers descendants, and stating she was in possession. The Inamdy accounts mention her husband's name as the Inamdar, and the Fahaum is in different prakars, sometimes mentioning Goorooraycharis name, and in other places that of one of his descendants, or forefathers. Prima-facie, therefore the widow is the occupant.
Gooroorayachar, brother's grandson of person in Column 13, disputed the decision, and pleaded that he himself was in occupation. As this did not appear clear from the Sirkar accounts he was told to file a regular suit but declined to do so. A reference was made to the Inam Commissioner whose orders conveyed in Proceedings &c 40 dated 27th Feby Ultimo, giving him 15 days time to file a suit were communicated to Gooroorayachar in person on the 2nd Instant, and again on the 9th idem by an indorsement in reply to his urzee. As no suit has yet been filed, and as he states that he will apply to the higher Courts

(XX)

Review by the Special Assistant and Confirmation by the Inam Commissioner

20

To be confirmed Quit Rent Rs. 1 Rupee.

(Initialed) H. G. Galbraith
Spl Asst

8-1-70

Confirmed subject to a Quit Rent of Rupee 1.

(Signed) A. T. Clark
Inam Commissioner

January 22/70

"Copy"

Compd

(Signed) [illegible]
Supt of Inams

Bangalore
dated 9th May 1902

JB

Register sheet No 55

Village Singaravanigai

Samuth Baramahal

Taluk = Dharmagiri

Summat of Buswapatna (45) **in the Talook of** Chinnagiri

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.* jodi, &c., Amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9
— 15- 2	—	For personal benefit	Free

Title Deed No 3900
Date of presn 1-10-70
Date of issue
To Taluk

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession.
	In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

Copy of the Inam Register ~~of Inams~~ in the Village of Singarakanger in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decima's.
1	2	3	4	5
25	Personal	Wet Sq. 52	As per account 1856 Wet 6 Seer = .08	

of Shivaga

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14
— w —	1807 Goorayachar 2½ fanam	1832 Goorayachar 2½ fanam 1856 Same Wet a-15-2 Pahany 1868 Irudwachar

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name, and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18
Hanamakally Narsamma widow 70	Widow of person in Column 13.	— —	— —

⊕ filed a suit within 6 days from the date of Communicating the instructions to him, in order to prove the adverse division between himself or his father and the husband of the widow or the widow herself and that the land was in her possession only instead of a money stipend for her maintenance, the registration should be made in the name of the widow in Column 15. Gorrorumakh was informed of the above decision on the 2nd ½ instant, but he has not yet filed a suit and has written an urzee saying that he will resort to the higher Courts for redress on the subject. An answer to this urzee issued to Gorrorumakhar on the 9 ½ instant, in reply to his urzee Communicating the orders of the Inam Commissioner. To be confirmed subject to ½ Quit Rent or 2 annas / Agreed to the Agent.

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	~~Review by the Special Assistant and Confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.~~
19	~~20~~

During the enquiry into this case the widow presented a petition through her agent Gundachar that she was in enjoyment of this Inam together with 6 others which are entered in the name of her husband, and that there was a division between her husband and his Collaterals, one Kuttykally Goorooraychar and certain others, and begged that the Title deed may be issued in her name.

Kuttykally Goorooraychar, the brother's grandson of party in Column 13, contended that the widow's husband was not divided, and therefore she cannot succeed to her husband's property and objected to the settlement of the Inam in the widow's name.

The widow being entitled to succeed to her husband's estates, and there being no evidence to show satisfactorily, who is in possession, (as the Pothany mentions the name of one of the ancestors of the late Goorooraychar) the widow's primâ facie of her husband's heirless. Goorooraychar was given the option of filing a regular suit, which he declined to do. A reference was thereby necessitated to the Inam Commissioner who ruled in Proceedings &c. 46 dated 27th Feb^y. that unless Goorooraychar filed

(B)

(51)

Register sheet No. 81.

Village Soonkadakattay.

Nagani Hobli

Taluk Hosakote

Copy of the Inam Register ~~of Inams~~ in the Village of Soonkuda Cuttay in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decima's.
1	2	3	4	5
10	Personal	Dry No 10	As per jarri account 1807 4 Coodu 4½ Seers = 3·02.	—

Title Deed No. 3216
Date of Inspn 1-10-69
Date of Issue & Taluk 4-12-69

Review by the Special Assistant and Confirmation by the Inam Commissioner

20.

To be confirmed Unit Rent is 2 annas

[Initialed] B. Copialah
Spl Asst.

8-1-70

Confirmed subject to a Unit Rent of 2 annas.

[Signed] V. C. Desai
Inam Commissioner.

August 15. 70

"Copy"

Compd

Bangalore
dated 9th May 1902

[Signature]
Supdt. of Inam Settlt.

Shimoga

(55)

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14
	1807 Guntay Karriana -Char 2 Still Coorn 2 Pagodas P. a 1806 Same Squre yards 18,000 = 3-72	1832 Gurrayachar 27½ Fanams 1806 Same 4-11-8 Chittas 1850-67 Same 4-11-8.

Sammat of Hanumakkalu **in the Taluk of** Gubbi

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.* jodi, &c., Amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9
9-4-4		For personal benefit	4-11-8

Title Deed No. 3216
Date of Perusal 1-12-69
Date of issue 4-12-69
To Taluk

(56) *of the*

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name, and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Harnahally Hosmally Taluk Goorooraja char 63	Son of party in Column 13	— " —	— " —

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

(58)

Register sheet-No. 59.

Village = Lukshmisagar

Summuttu = Buswapatna

Taluk — Chennagiri

Inam (59)

Copy of the Inam Register ~~of Inams~~ in the Village of Lakshmisagar in th

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decima's.
1	2	3	4	5
4	Personal	Dry No 23 + Wet No 16	As per account 1856 6 wet Coodu 2½ Seer = ·65	
		Dry No 23	5·71	
		Wet No 16	1·00	
			6·71 as per Amils' Measurement reported in his urzee No 1087 dated 9/11/70.	

Title Deed No 3921
Date of prepn 1-4-76
Date of Issue to Taluk —

Change the extent by proportion
Initialed B. Gopamlah
Asst Assistant

Division.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.
19	20

To be confirmed subject to
Present yearly - 4-1-8
18 Unit Rent 4-9-6
5-5-2
or Rupees 5-8-0
Agreed to.

Originally the value of the Inam was only 2 Pagodas, but an addition was made since for Causes not known but reduced to 25 fanams. — as per Superintendent's order No 134 of 1951.
The present assessment is stated in Column 6.

Signed [illegible]
Assistant.
29-3-69.

To be confirmed Combined Unit Rent Rupees 5-8-0
Initialed by Special Assistant
27-5-69.
Confirmed subject to a Unit Rent of Rupees 5-8-0
Rupees [illegible]
Inam Commissioner
28-8-69

"Copy."
Supdt of Inam Settlt
Bangalore
Dated 7th May 1902

Summat of, Enamjeatpa ... in the Talook of Channagiri

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.* jodi, &c., Amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9
4-11-8	— —	For personal benefit	Free
Dry - 0-56 Wet. 0-09 0-65			

1 Shimaga

62

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14
	1807 Goorooraya chetty 12½ fanam	1832 Goorooraya chetty 12½ fanam 1856 Same Wet 6 Cood 2½ Ker 4-11-8 Pahany 1868 Inuwachar

(63) of the "1

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name, and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18
Karanahally— Nursummah widow 70	widow of person in Column 13	—	—

⊕ Communicated to him, on the 2nd Instant in person and again on the 9th Idem by an endorsement in reply to his urzee, and has moreover filed an urzee saying that he will apply to the Superior Courts on the subject.

To be confirmed subject to ½ Limit or 10 Annas/. Agreed to by agent.

13-8-69

[Signed] Head Circuit Assistant

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sanad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.	
Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	~~Review by the Special Assistant in Inam Commission or by the Inam Commissioner.~~
19	~~20~~

From the Inamdar accounts, it would appear that the widow's husband is entered therein as the proprietor of this Inam. The Pahany, mentions the name of one of his ancestors long ago deceased. The widow came forward as his heiress and prima facie, she is the present occupant, she also asked Division between her husband, and his brother's decean-dants, Goorooraychar &c. But finally Goorooraychar opposed the widow's application on the plea that her husband was not divided, & that he (Goorooraychar) was in possession. He was told to file a suit, which he declined to do. A reference was made to the Inam Commissioner who ruled (vide correspondence entered proceedings No 46 dated 2nd August) that unless Goorooraychar brings a suit within 15 days from the date of communicating the order to him, for the purpose of ~~proving~~ that there was no division between himself and widow's husband or with the widow, and that the lands are in her occupation on account of maintenance, instead of a money stipend, the widow's name should be registered.

This is accordingly done, as the man has not yet filed a suit, although the orders were Commu-nicated ⊗

Review by the Special Assistant and Confirmation by the Inam Commissioner

20.

To be confirmed Quit Rent 3 Annas,

[illegible] B. Esqualiah
Spl. Assistant

8-3-70

Confirmed subject to a Quit Rent of Annas 3.

[illegible] C. L. Blair
Offg. Inam Commissioner

1st March 1870

"Copy"

Compd.

[illegible]
Supdt. of Inam Settlt.

Bangalore
Dated 9th May 1902.

Th.

(19)

Before the Collector Bijapur

Present.

V. Madhava Rao Esq. I.C.S.

&

C. Seetharamaiah Esq.

Pets Nos 232 & 5-2-35 and other petitions from Kalle Yadava Krishna Char of Shirsagi & Jagattimatha Char of Rachenahalli

Subject

Appeal against the settlement of the Inam Suit regarding the temporary assessment on the Excess in their various Inams.

Facts of the case

The 1st petr Kalle Yadava Krishna Char possesses various Inams in the villages of Singarabagi Belabagera and Lachyan Sagara in Chimmagi taluk, while the 2nd petr possesses a Inam Inams in Sindagi Kalle in Nandali taluk. Under the rules published

Draft for 4th & other 1st & 2nd comitters

29/7/02

P. 29/7/02

289

1/8/02

Rd 7-8-02

Env. 5/8/02

in Notice No 153 of 12-12-97, the Inam Supt brought 6a-3g-37 in the case of the 1st petitioner & 4a-9g-13 in the case of the 2nd petr under assessment. The petitioners urge that as there was no room for encroachment, the levy of assessment on the excess is not justifiable; but they do not produce any Sanads or kabuliats of the kind referred to in Rule XI (A) of the Inam Rules, the 1st petr representing that all his papers were lost during the river-flood.

Decision

The Court do not see any reason to interfere with the Settlement made by the Inam Supt. The petns are therefore rejected

---

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Dy

2 Exs 9/16-8-1902
Ex 8 of 98
one file of records

Comm'r Sherwood's Dec 42
together with the records
rec'd with his letter of
736/502 8-9-1-96

Draft
C, 42
[illegible]
-7

Secretary

814

12604/88898 31-8-02

[illegible] copy of the Last of Chas
Settlement with reference
to the correspondence ending
with his docket No. 105
of 9-5-02

By order

Secretary.

Press copy
[illegible]
[illegible] 22/8

Sent Thos 22/8/02

(19)

1902-03

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

PETITION

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 16th August 1902

Nos. $\frac{260}{\text{P. F. 8-98}}$ & $\frac{260\frac{1}{2}}{\text{P. F. 8-98}}$

Rejecting the appeals of Katte Yadava Krishnachar of Shimoga and Jayatirthachar of Harnahalli, against the settlement of the Inam Superintendent directing the levy of assessment on the excess in their Minor Inams in Sringarabogu, Belalagere, etc., villages in Channagiri and Honnali Taluks.

SBP—29-8-1902—200

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

PRESENT:

V. P. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., C.I.E.,

AND

C. SREENIVASIENGAR, ESQ.

Petition No. 2821, dated 5th February 1895, and other petitions from Katte Yadava Krishnachar of Shimoga and Jayatirthachar of Harnahalli.

Subject.

Appeal against the settlement of the Inam Superintendent directing the levy of assessment on the excess in their Minor Inams.

Facts of the Case.

The 1st petitioner, Katte Yadava Krishnachar, possesses Minor Inams in the villages of Sringarabogu, Belalagere and Lakshmisagara in Channagiri Taluk, while the 2nd petitioner possesses a Minor Inam in Sunkadakatte in Honnali Taluk. Under the Rules published in Notification No. 153, dated 12th December 1887, the Inam Superintendent brought 6 acres and 37 guntas in the case of the 1st petitioner and 4 acres and 13 guntas in the case of the 2nd petitioner, under assessment. The petitioners urge that as there was no room for encroachment, the levy of assessment on the excess is not justifiable; but they do not produce any sannads or hadnamas of the kind referred to in Rule XI (A) of the Inam Rules, the 1st petitioner representing that all his papers were lost during a river-flood.

Decision.

The Government do not see any reason to interfere with the settlement made by the Inam Superintendent. The petitions are therefore rejected.

No. 260—P. F. 8-98, DATED BANGALORE, 16TH AUGUST 1902.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, together with the records received with his letter No. 736—502, dated 9th January 1896.

No. 260½—P. F. 8-98, DATED BANGALORE, 16TH AUGUST 1902.

Copy forwarded to the Superintendent of Inam Settlements with reference to the correspondence ending with his docket No. 105, dated 9th May 1902.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Exd.—C.V.

15

p.

10-8-1902

2821

Ln 81-94-15



By order

for Secretary

CIH

gms

13/7/02